

PCT

REC'D 13 JUL 2004

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 4-32344A/CHL				FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification	n of Transmittal of International tamination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP 03/02029				International filing date (day/mo 27.02.2003	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.02.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K45/06							
Applicant NOVARTIS AG , 1.							
	NOVANTIS/AG# 1.						
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
	These annexes consist of a total of 3 sheets.						
							
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following items:			
}	ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion				
	Н		Priority				
	Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step	and industrial applicability	
1	IV		Lack of unity of invent	tion			
	٧	\boxtimes	Reasoned statement citations and explana	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with reg tions supporting such stateme	gard to novelty, i ent	inventive step or industrial applicability;	
}	VI		Certain documents ci				
	VII	\Box .		international application			
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international applicatio	n		
Date of cultural science of the demand Date of completion of this report							
Date of submission of the demand			Date	e of completion of	Inis report		
30.08.2003				17.	06.2004		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:					norized Officer	John Linds Petanton, G	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich					creu Largo, M		
	<i>9</i>))	Te	1. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 x: +49 89 2399 - 4465	656 epmu d	ephone No. +49 8	9 2399-7851	
_		. га	A. THU OU EUGG " THOU	1 100			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/02029

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	Description, Pages						
	1-32	2	as originally filed					
	Clai	ims, Numbers						
			received on 27.04.2004 with letter of 26.04.2004					
	1-16	o	received on 27.04.2004 with letter of 20.04.2004					
	Dra	rawings, Sheets						
	1/4-	4/4	as originally filed					
2.	With lang	n regard to the langu guage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).					
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.					
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.					
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosur in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.					
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/02029

5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).									
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)									
6.	Add	dditional observations, if necessary:									
III.	Non	-establishment of opinion wi	th reg	ard to nove	ty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1.	The obvi	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:									
		the entire international application,									
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 12-16 (in respect of industrial applicability)									
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 12-16 (I.A.) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):									
		see separate sheet									
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):									
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.									
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.									
A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleon or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrations:					nnot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and idard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative						
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.									
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.									
٧.	Rea cita	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tations and explanations supporting such statement									
1.	Stat	Statement									
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	4,5,9,10 1-3,6-8,11-16						
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-16						
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11						

2. Citations and explanations

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/02029

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 12-16 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with to respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

.... Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The documents cited in the International Search Report (ISR) are consecutively numbered D1-D9 in the order of their listing. If not indicated otherwise, reference is made to the passages cited in said ISR.
- Document D1 describes the use of combinations of STI571 with 2 or 3
 antineoplastic agents (Ara-C plus Apo2L/TRAIL or Ara-C plus Apo2L/TRAIL plus
 IFN) in leukemic blasts.

Document D2 also refers to the use of a combination comprising STI571 + A490 + FTI to treat chronic myeloid leukaemia (CLM).

Document D3 refers to an ongoing phase II study aiming the treatment of CLM comprising the co-administration of imatinib mesylate (another name for STI571), iderubicine and ara-C.

Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-3, 6-8 und 11-16 does not appear to be novel, Art. 33(2) PCT.

3. The subject-matter of claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 appears to be novel, Art. 33(2) PCT since none of the documents of the search report disclose neither a combination comprising STI571, fludarabine and ara-C nor a combination with the four following compounds: STI571, fludarabine, idarubicine and ara-C.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/02029 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

4. However, the subject-matter of claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 does not appear to involve an inventive step for the following reasons:

In general it is not considered inventive to combine two or more active agents for treating a particular disease in the case where said two or more agents are known to be therapeutically effective alone in treating said particular disease. In this regard, it would normally be expected that such a combination of active agents would be more effective than either active agent alone. Exceptions to this general principle may be made if the new combination has a surprising property, e.g. a synergistic therapeutic benefit.

Synergistic activities have already been reported for STI571 in combination with ara-C in *in vitro* studies (see D4 and D5).

The applicant has only shown that the combination STI571 + fludarabine + ara-C is synergistic over the combination fludarabine + ara-C in leukaemic cell lines.

In order to acknowledge an inventive step, a synergistic effect of the triple combination over the combination pairs STI571 + ara-C and STI571 + fludarabine should also be shown.

No data about the effects of a combination of the 4 compounds of claims 5 and 10 can be found in the application.

5. For the assessment of the present claims 12 to 16 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

9-04-2004

Amended Claims:

- A combination of (a) an ATP-competitive inhibitor of c-abl kinase activity and (b) with (b) two or more other antineoplastic agents for simultaneous, separate or sequential use.
- 2. The combination according to claim 1 where the ATP-competitive inhibitor of c-abl kinase activity (a) is N-{5-[4-(4-methyl-piperazino-methyl)-benzoylamido]-2-methylphenyl}-4-(3-pyridyl)-2-pyrimidine-amine, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.
- 3. The combination according to claim 2 wherein (b) the two or more antineoplastic agents are selected from pyrimidine or purine nucleoside analogs and topolsomerase II inhibitors which are independently present in free form or as pharmaceutically acceptable salts.
- 4. The combination according to claim 3 wherein (b) the two antineoplastic agents are Fludarabine and ara-C which are independently of each other present in free form or as pharmaceutically acceptable salts.
- 5. The combination according to claim 3 wherein three antineoplastic agents (b) are present in the combination, which are from fludarabine, idarubicine and ara-C which are independently being present in free form or as pharmaceutically acceptable salts.
- Use of the combination according to any one of claims 1 to 5 for the preparation of a medicament for the treatment of a proliferative disease.
- 7. Use of the combination according to claim 6 wherein the proliferative disease is leukemia.
- 8. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a combination of (a) an ATP-competitive inhibitor of c-abl kinase activity with (b) two or more other antineoplastic agents and optionally at least one pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
- 9. The pharmaceutical composition according to claim 8 wherein component (a) is (N-{5-[4-(4-methyl-piperazino-methyl)-benzoylamido]-2-methylphenyl}-4-(3-pyridyl)-2-pyrimidine-amine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, and (b) are two

Case 4-32344A

Fludarabine and ara-C which are independently of each other present in free form or as pharmaceutically acceptable salts.

- 10. The pharmaceutical composition according to claim 8 wherein component (a) is (N-{5-[4-(4-methyl-piperazino-methyl)-benzoylamido]-2-methylphenyl}-4-(3-pyridyl)-2-pyrimidine-amine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, and (b) are Idarubicine, Fludarabine and ara-C which are independently of each other present in free form or as pharmaceutically acceptable salts.
- 11. A commercial package comprising (a) an ATP-competitive inhibitor of c-abl kinase activity and (b) two or more other antineoplastic agents, where the active compounds falling under (a) and/or (b) are independently of each other in free form or in the form of pharmaceutically acceptable salts, for simultaneous, chronically staggered or separate use in the delay of progression or treatment of a proliferative disease.
- 12. A method of treating a warm-blooded animal suffering from a proliferative disease, comprising administering to said animal a combination which comprises (a) an ATP-competitive inhibitor of c-abl kinase activity and (b) two or more other antineoplastic agents, where the active compounds falling under (a) and/or (b) are independently of each other in free form or in the form of pharmaceutically acceptable salts, in a dose that is pharmaceutically effective in the treatment of said disease.
- 13. The method according to claim 12 where component (a) is (N-{5-[4-(4-methyl-piperazi-no-methyl)-benzoylamido]-2-methylphenyl}-4-(3-pyridyl)-2-pyrimidine-amine, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.
- 14. The method according to claim 12 where component (a) is N-{5-[4-(4-methyl-piperazino-methyl)-benzoylamido]-2-methylphenyl}-4-(3-pyridyl)-2-pyrimidine-amine, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, and component (b) is a combination of two or more of the compounds selected from purine nucleoside analogs and topoisomerase II inhibitors, independently in free form or as pharmaceutically acceptable salts.
- 15. The method according to claim 12 where component (a) is N-{5-[4-(4-methyl-piperazino-methyl)-benzoylamido]-2-methylphenyl}-4-(3-pyridyl)-2-pyrimidine-amine, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, and component (b) includes two or more of the compounds selected from Idarubicine, Fludarabine and ara-C which are

Case 4-32344A

independently of each other present in free form or as pharmaceutically acceptable salts.

16. The method according to claim 12 where the proliferative disease is a leukaemia